## Commonwealth of Massachusetts

**ENF** 

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

## **Environmental Notification Form**

Septic system For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 130 27
EOEA No.: <u>/ 3 0 2 7</u> MEPA Analyst: <b>Deinder Buckle</b> g Phone: 617-626- <u>/ 0 4 4</u>
Phone: 617-626-/044
• . •

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: <i>Kerrigan</i>					
Street: #7 Madeline Road					
Municipality: <i>Falmouth</i>	Watershed: Cape Cod				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude:				
	Longitude:				
Estimated commencement date: Sept. 03	Estimated completion date: May '04				
Approximate cost: \$250,000	Status of project design: 80 % complete				
Proponent: James Kerrigan					
Street: P. O. Box 2068					
Municipality: <i>Teaticket</i>	State: MA	Zip Code: 02536			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained:			
Barbara Frappier					
Firm/Agency: Warwick & Associates, Inc.	Street: 63 Coul	nty Road – P. O. Box 801			
Municipality: North Falmouth	State: MA	Zip Code: <b>02556</b>			
Phone: (508) 563-7777 Fax: (50	08) 563-2638	E-mail: warwick@adelphia.ne			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	Yes <i>11.03(3)(b)1a</i> Yes (EOEA No before?	) ⊠No			
	Yes (EOEA No	) ⊠No			
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	esting: YesYesYesYesYes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer for the agency name and the amount of funding or la	•	•			
Are you requesting coordinated review with any o Yes. Specify: This ENF is being submitted a for Departmental Action by DEP.					

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land [☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC ☐	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	r 📋	Transportat Solid & Haz	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
<u> </u>	AND			<ul><li>☐ Order of Conditions</li><li>☒ Superseding Order of</li></ul>
Total site acreage	9,600 sq. ft.			Conditions *
New acres of land altered		1,350+- sq. ft.		☐ Chapter 91 License ☐ 401 Water Quality
Acres of impervious area	<u>0</u>	90+- sq. ft. pile footings	<u>o</u>	Certification  MHD or MDC Access  Permit
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		<ul><li></li></ul>
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		1,350+- sq. ft. LSCSF & Coastal Bank		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit Other Permits (including Legislative
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		Approvals) - Specify:  * Proponent has applied for a
STRUCTURES Superseding Order of				Superseding Order of
Gross square footage	0	836	836	Genditions -
Number of housing units	0	1	1	
Maximum height (in feet)	0	35	35	
TRANSI	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Parking spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A	
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	7		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A	

natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?
☐Yes (Specify) ☑No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?  Specify Specify No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?  ☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or
archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)  (a) The proponent is seeking to construct a 3 bedroom single family dwelling on pile foundation, a
Title V septic system with enhanced denitrification, & appurtenances. The property is a wooded (oak & pine) 9,600 sq. ft. lot in a densely developed neighbor. The site abuts Eel Pond a dredged tidal canal. The site consists of the following resource areas: Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW], Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage [LSCSF] - an "A" flood zone, and coastal bank. The work as proposed is located partially within the buffer zone to the BVW, partially within an "A" flood zone and, in part, on the coastal bank. By applying DEP policy 92-1 this very shallow slope qualifies as coastal bank. However, this banking is non-eroding, does not contain flood waters, or afford protection from storm waves. This coastal bank is a coastal bank in form but not function. The total intrusion into the coastal bank is < 1,350+- sq. ft That intrusion is in the form of clearing for construction access and placement of a small 3 bedroom dwelling on concrete piles. None of the septic system components are proposed on the coastal bank. There is no re-grading of the coastal bank proposed.
<ul> <li>(b) &amp; (c) This is a single 9,600 sq. ft. residential house lot. There are no off-site alternatives available to the applicant other than abandoning the property and building on another site. This is not economically practical.  The first on-site alternative is to "do nothing." This was rejected as being economically impractical.  Selling the property to abutters was considered. This was also rejected as the abutters have, in effect, use and enjoyment of the land by default. Human nature dictates "why buy it when we can use it for nothing?".  Using the property for other than residential purposes is not permitted by zoning.  Constructing a large home with Title V septic system, landscaping, and clearing of vegetation to</li> </ul>

obtain a water view would be the most profitable use of the property as its desirability to a potential homeowner would be high. It would be comparable to many of the high-end homes that front on this waterway. However, in addition to being difficult to permit, such a proposal

would not be environmentally responsible.

Therefore, the final alternative is that which was proposed. A modest 3 bedroom dwelling with minimal lot clearing and a Title V septic system w/ denitrification. This proposal provides for approximately 2/3rds of the depth between street and water's edge to be left in its naturally vegetated condition. The proposed home will be in keeping with the more modest homes in the neighborhood.